1AC	1NC	2AC	2NC/1NR	1AR	2NR	2AR
		vote aff - risk & tf outweigh		vote aff		vote aff
		- kids currently eating unhealthily now		risk = high b/c kids eating unhealthily, which --> disease	our risk = higher, 100% risk	risk = highest - FI happening now
plan -- better school lunches		- but fism collapse = uncertain		tf = very soon, kids already eating poorly now	our risk = sooner, 100% chance of violence post-plan	--- only ! with a high risk
		solves cycle of poverty - SL ^ academic performance				
inh -- SL being rolled back						
x, The Hill						
solv. -- better SL boost health			no solv -- no ev kids eat school lunches	plan does solve - provide healthier meal options		
x, Union of Concerned Sci.			- can't take pictures every time	--- that's USC		
			- children won't eat healthy foods			
				yes they eat lunches - plate weighing proves	no proof students will eat SL	not true - studies took pictures already
						students do eat healther SL
					no proof of solvency - impossible to weigh every plate	
food inj -- 1. minority children = disprop. unhealthy			food justice movement solves now			
x, Union of Concerned Sci.			x, Smith			
2. better lunches checks FI						
x, Union of Concerned Sci.						
3. FI --> cycle of poverty						
x, Marcus						