

1NC	2AC	2NC/1NR	1AR	2NR	2AR
UQ -- federalism strong now x, Somin	1. N/UQ -- Trump's sanc. cities order proves x, Will	a. UQ - no AFF response  b. no examples of sanctuary cities	N/UQ - Trump should've collapsed fism already or there was no ! to fism collapse	UQ - our ! is much larger, so vote neg	
Link -- Trump must preserve fed/state balance x, Pease	2. No ! - Modelled fism = empirically ineffective x, Calabresi				
I/L -- fism modelled globally x, Calabresi	3. No link - DA is too general, SL = small reform	a. I/L - no response, goes conceded  ext. Calabresi  b. no proof SL get eaten			ext. Calabresi -- no I/L 1. Canada & UK prove fism can't solve secession -- means still risks of violence w/ fism
! -- fism prevents violence/secession	4. Lack of empirics prove fism fails	a. empirics - prefer ours, we S violence/secessions/rebellions  vote neg - 1. magnitude of violence = much greater 2. 100% risk plan -- > violence 3. tf - immediate b/c US = a model  even if we lose case debate, vote neg if we all die from violence, we can't solve food injustice	their empirics fail - fism != modelled globally	empirics solve - other countries prove - they have more balance & can solve FI - w/o fism, 100% chance of violence	2. policy experts don't recommend